#### WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

•	
١	,

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Harry Paul Francisco			Paul Francisco	Case Number:	08-3197M-001	
	cordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts	
		lear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ding trial in this case.				
		oreponde n this cas		dant is a serious flight risk and	require the detention of the defendant pending	
			PAR	T I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe the	at the defendant has committe	d	
			an offense for which a maxim 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46	um term of imprisonment of te U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §	§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. imprisonment of ten years or		nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
			an offense involving a minor v	ictim prescribed in	1	
	(2)	The condit	defendant has not rebutted the tions will reasonably assure the	presumption established by appearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
				Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There the ap	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidat a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
				ATEMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION	
	(1)		hat the credible testimony and in danger that:	formation submitted at the hea	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence	

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

## 

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
×	In add	lition: efendant submitted the issue of detention.
time of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the
uirie oi	the nea	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal.	ctions fa . The do Jnited S	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court states or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the united States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. service	a copy Pursua of a co	DRDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date opy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	es suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretriationally in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Date:	<u>J</u>	Michelle H. Burns

United States Magistrate Judge